

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/352,959	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CAMPBELL, PAUL W.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Pierre M. Vital	<b>Art Unit</b> 2188	

**All Participants:**

(1) Pierre M. Vital.

(2) Christopher J. Reckamp (Reg. No. 34,414).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Objections to claims 1, 7, 8, 12 and 18*

Claims discussed:

*1, 7, 8, 12 and 18*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 1, line 6 and in claim 18, line 5, applicant provided clarification why the use of "a" was not needed before "memory". In claim 8, line 5, , applicant provided clarification why the term "at least" was not indefinite. Examiner has agreed to withdraw the above noted objections. .